

USDA Foreign Agricultural Service

GAIN Report

Global Agriculture Information Network

Template Version 2.09

Required Report - Public distribution

Date: 7/24/2007

GAIN Report Number: NI7015

Nigeria Biotechnology Agricultural Biotechnology 2007

Approved by:

Ali Abdi, Agricultural Attaché U.S. Embassy

Prepared by:

Michael David, Agricultural Specialist

Report Highlights:

The executive summary and section I of this report has been updated.

In November 2006, the Nigerian Biosafety Committee submitted the draft biosafety bill to the Minister of Environment. The Minister pledged to fast track the process of passing the bill into law. The Federal Executive Council comprising the President and Ministers will first consider the draft bill. If approved, the bill will be sent to the National Assembly (Congress) for public hearing and passage into law. Nigeria has a new government and it is not certain what its attitude will be towards biotechnology.

Includes PSD Changes: No Includes Trade Matrix: No Annual Report Lagos [NI1] [NI]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SECTION II. BIOTECHNOLOGY TRADE AND PRODUCTION	4
A. COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION OF BIOTECHNOLOGY CROPS	4
B. BIOTECHNOLOGY CROPS UNDER DEVELOPMENT	
C. IMPORTS OF BIOTECHNOLOGY CROPS/PRODUCTS	
D. FOOD AID	
E. PRODUCTION OF BIOTECHNOLOGY CROPS DEVELOPED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES	5
SECTION III. BIOTECHNOLOGY POLICY	5
A. REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR AGRICULTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY	5
B. A PPROVAL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY CROPS	6
Field Testing	6
D. STACKED EVENTS	
E. COEXISTENCE	
F. LABELING	
G. BIOSAFETY PROTOCOL	
H. BIOTECHNOLOGY-RELATED TRADE BARRIERS	7
I. PENDING LEGISLATION	
J. TECHNOLOGY FEES	
SECTION IV. MARKETING IS SUES	7
A. MARKET ACCEPTANCE	7
B. FOCUS GROUP SURVEY	
SECTION V. CAPACITY BUILDING A ND OUTREACH	8
A. U.S. GOVERNMENT OR USDA FUNDED OUTREACHACTIVITIES	8
B. COUNTRY SPECIFIC NEEDS	8
SECTION VI. REFERENCE MATERIAL	9
POST CONTACT AND FURTHER INFORMATION	9

Executive Summary

Nigeria, Africa's most populous nation (140 million), is a food deficit country. Formally a net food exporter, Nigeria's subsistence agriculture can no longer supply the needs of its growing population. According to trade sources, Nigeria imported about \$3 billion worth of agricultural commodities in 2006. In CY2006, U.S. agricultural exports to Nigeria reached \$553 million. Nigeria is largely a bulk commodity market with the U.S. having a major share of the wheat market. Opportunities also exist for soybean products, tallow, rice and high value products.

The Government of Nigeria (GON) appears to be supportive of biotechnology. Many Nigerians believe that the nation needs to align itself with biotechnology in order not to miss out on the rewards of another revolution. In several public pronouncements, Nigeria continues to express support for biotechnology. Despite this receptiveness, in actuality, the country appears to have made very little progress in providing enabling regulatory environment. At a recent meeting of the Economic Community of West African States to develop a regional action plan, Nigeria objected to the signing a memorandum of understanding for a common approach to biosafety.

On November 22, 2006, the Nigeria Biosafety Committee (NBC) presented to the Minister for Environment, Mrs. Helen Esuene the National biosafety policy and the draft bill. The bill, when passed will provide legal backing for the practice of biotechnology and the regulatory mechanism for the use of its products. The bill will guide activities in the sector by stipulating rules and specifying sanctions and penalties for infringement. Presenting the report, Chairman of NBC, Prof. J. Ekpere, noted that Nigeria had signed the convention on biosafety in 1992 and ratified the instrument in 1994, and was an active participant in the negotiations leading to the adoption of the Cantagena Protocol. Ekpere further noted that no well-meaning entrepreneur or research organization would invest in biotechnology research or enterprise in the absence of a legally binding instrument. He said that an appropriate biosafety regime would enhance the rapid development biotechnology and secure the utilization of its products.

The draft biosafety bill seeks to provide regulatory framework, institutional and administrative mechanisms for safety in the application of modern biotechnology in Nigeria with a view of preventing any adverse effect on human health and the environment/biodiversity. The biosafety bill is to guide different segments of society in contributing to safe application of modern biotechnology

Additionally, the committee recommended that:

- The National Biosafety Committee be transformed into a National Biosafety Council;
- A National Biosafety Agency be established to implement the elements of the National Biosafety Framework; and
- A public awareness/advocacy program is embarked upon to educate Nigerians on the benefits of biotechnology and associated risks.

The Minister of Environment described the draft bill and the National Biosafety Policy as another milestone in creating an enabling environment for the development of biotechnology in Nigeria. The Minister noted that Nigeria being a signatory to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, which focus on trans-boundary movement of living modified organisms (LMOs) resulting from modern biotechnology, the regulatory documents are aimed at allowing the country to regulate modern biotechnology in conformity with the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity. The Minister promised to expedite action on next steps to getting the bill passed into law for the country. (There is a change of government and a new Minister will be named soon).

The documents would be presented to the National Council on Environment (the highest decision making body on environmental issues) at its annual meeting later in the year. After approval by the Council on Environment, the documents would be forwarded to the National Executive Council of Ministers for ratification and then to Congress. The draft bill generally portrays products of biotechnology as safe for animal and human consumption, it however, advocates strict adherence to the 'precautionary principle' and mandatory labeling of all products of agricultural biotechnology to protect "consumers right to know."

In 2000, the GON established the National Biosafety Committee (NBC) with 16 members drawn from various ministries, university, organized private sector, and a non-governmental organization, to oversee the implementation of the national biotechnology program. At its sitting in October 2005, the NBC constituted a technical sub-committee on agriculture. The technical sub-committee reviewed an application for field-testing of a set of cassava clones with resistance to the cassava mosaic disease at their meeting of November 30 to December 1, 2005. The sub-committee recommended to the NBC that confined field-testing of the bio-engineered cassava clones should be allowed. Nigeria's biosafety guidelines, which became operational in 2001 contains provisions for field-testing of approved bio-engineered crops. However, while the approval process was in progress, the application for the field-testing of bio-engineered cassava with resistance to cassava mosaic disease was withdrawn because of a breakdown of its resistance. Although the withdrawal slowed down the process of field-testing of bio-engineered crops in Nigeria, it has, however, set a template for other applications to be filed. The NBC can hold more meetings if it receives applications for field-testing of bioengineered crop varieties.

SECTION II. BIOTECHNOLOGY TRADE AND PRODUCTION

A. Commercial Production of Biotechnology Crops

Nigeria does not currently produce any biotechnology crops commercially. Capacity exists at the International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the Sheda Science and Technology Complex (SHESTCO) to conduct and apply biotechnology research. Scientists in Nigeria are assessing genetic diversity in the major crops grown in Nigeria. With transgenic insect-resistant cotton soon to go into commercial production in Burkina Faso, Nigerian cotton growers have indicated strong interest in conducting field trials.

B. Biotechnology Crops Under Development

There is no biotechnology crop under development in Nigeria that will be on the market in the coming year. Sustained research using modern agricultural biotechnology methods in Nigeria is being conducted at the IITA. The institute is doing preliminary work on bioengineered cowpea. IITA also collaborates with the National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI) on biotech cassava research.

C. Imports of Biotechnology Crops/Products

Officially, Nigeria does not import bioengineered products. However, agricultural products such as soybeans, soybean meal, soybean oil and processed food are freely imported from the U.S., EU, Brazil and Argentina and may contain biotech ingredients. Corn was imported from the U.S. until the recent ban implemented by the GON to protect local producers.

D. Food Aid

Nigeria is a food aid recipient. Rice, soybean meal and skim milk powder are commodities that have been monetized under USDA food aid programs in the past few years.

E. Production of Biotechnology Crops Developed Outside the United States

At Present, Nigeria does not produce biotechnology crops.

SECTION III. BIOTECHNOLOGY POLICY

A. Regulatory Framework for Agricultural Biotechnology

I. Responsible institutions involved in agricultural biotechnology in Nigeria:

National Focal Point--Federal Ministry of Environment National Biosafety Authority (NBA) -Proposed The National Biosafety Committee (NBC) National Biosafety Technical Sub-Committee Institutional Biosafety Committees National Biotechnology Development Agency (NBDA)

The <u>Federal Ministry of Environment</u> is the national focal point on Biosafety in Nigeria. This Ministry is the GON's liaison with the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Biodiversity for administrative functions required under the Cartegena Protocol on Biosafety. The National Focal point is responsible for all correspondences with importers, exporters and applicants on movement of products of modern biotechnology. Pending the passage of the National Biosafety Bill, the Minister of Environment acts for National Biosafety Agency (NBA). The Ministry of Environment is proposing the establishment of an independent NBA.

The Ministry of Environment has developed a National Biosafety Framework (NBF) to provide guidance on the implementation of Nigeria's Biotechnology program. This framework is a combination of policy, legal, administrative and technical instruments that will regulate all biotechnological work. It is also intended to ensure the safe transfer, handling and use of biotech materials that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity. The Framework is meant to provide a one-stop clearinghouse in the NBC.

The Framework also require the establishment of Institutional Biosafety Committees (IBC) by all institutions in Nigeria, both private and public (e.g. research institutes, universities, international research centers etc.), which plan to undertake biotechnology research and/or development. The IBC shall consult and seek approvals from the NBC and implement recommendations from NBC among others.

ii) Role and Membership of the Biosafety Committee (NBC)

The <u>NBC</u> serves as the Competent National Authority for biosafety in Nigeria. The NBC is responsible for the safe management of biotechnology activities, including research, development, introduction and the use of LMOs/GMOs. The Committee has 16 members drawn from the Ministries of 1) Agriculture 2) Science & Technology, 3) Environment, 4) Commerce, 5) Education, 6) Health (NAFDAC), 7) Industry, 8) Foreign Affairs, 9) Internal Affairs (Nigerian Customs Service), 10) Justice 11) NACCIMA/Organised Private Sector, 12) a Biologist, 13) a Physical Scientist, 14) a Social Scientist and 15) a Representative of NGOs distinguished in environmental/conservation matters. The NBC is required to review all applications for the release of products of bioengineering and make recommendations to the Minister of Environment on whether or not to allow such products. The NBC oversees the implementation of the National Biotechnology Program, consistent with the Biosafety Law.

The NBC has also established National Biosafety Technical Sub-committees (NBTS) to focus on sectoral interests such as agriculture, health, industry and the environment. The sub-committees review proposals for research and recommend the conditions under which experiments should be conducted. They are to provide technical advice to the NBC and contribute to its functions in relation to contained use, field trials, release and placement on the market.

All applications for import, field trials, transit and contained use must be routed through the registrar of the NBA. The NBC will meet and direct the relevant NBTS to carry out risk assessment and ensure participation of all relevant stakeholders. Findings of the NBTS are submitted to the NBC. The NBC takes a decision, which is then conveyed to the applicant by the Registrar of the NBA. A license to carry out event is issued by the Registrar of NBA.

The NBDA was established in 2001 in the Ministry of Science and Technology to promote the development of biotechnology in Nigeria. The agency is active in creating awareness for products of biotechnology. NBDA conducts regular workshops for the major stakeholders in biotechnology.

iii) Political factors

The Nigerian government appreciates the potential of biotechnology to improve agricultural productivity. The national biotechnology policy document states that the GON "supports biotechnology because of its immense potential to more rapidly contribute to sustainable food security and economic growth". Former President Obasanjo was also quoted as saying that " as a matter of priority government will initiate appropriate steps in the area of biotechnology and facilitate the effective utilization of this new technology for the benefit of our people." The government established the National Biotechnology Development Agency (NBDA), approved the National Biosafety Guidelines in 2001 and is in the process of establishing the Nigeria Biosafety Law. There are mixed opinions from government officials regarding the progress of Nigeria's biosafety measures.

B. Approval of Biotechnology Crops

No law exists to approve biotechnology crops for food, processing and feed.

C. Field Testing

In 2001, the GON approved the National Biosafety Guidelines. The guidelines have a provision for field-testing bio-engineered crops. The first application for field trials to the National Biosafety Committee (NBC) to introduce the first LMO was for virus resistant cassava variety developed at the Danforth. The application was withdrawn because of a breakdown of its resistance. If the field-testing succeeded, it would have provided a unique opportunity for local regulators and scientists to gain familiarity with biotech crops and encourage development of workable Biosafety systems.

D. Stacked events

The NBC does not require additional approval for stacked events

E. Coexistence

Nigeria's proposed biosafety bill is silent on co-coexistence. However, there are provisions for monitoring in the draft bill. The relevant portion of the bill states, "for the purpose of biosafety, monitoring shall be used as a tool to ensure that the concerns expressed by

stakeholders are addressed, ensure compliance with the terms of approval, confirm claims and trace the fate of LMOs/GMOs.)

F. Labeling

The National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC) is the GON's regulatory body responsible for food product manufacturing, importation, advertisement and distribution in Nigeria. The NAFDAC was established to protect and promote public health by ensuring the wholesomeness, quality, and safety of food and drugs consumed in Nigeria. NAFDAC regulations require food labeling to be informative and accurate. The minimum labeling requirements include net content, specifying essential ingredients in metric weight for solids, semi-solids and aerosols, and metric volume for liquids. Ingredients must be listed by their common names in order of their prominence by weight. The regulations are being strictly enforced, but they are not specific to products of biotechnology.

G. Biosafety Protocol

The GON signed and ratified the Convention on Biological Diversity in 1994 and the Cartegena Protocol on Biosafety in November 2002. Nigeria, having signed and ratified the protocol is now under obligation to implement it. The implementation of the protocol is slow and has had no effect on trade.

H. Biotechnology-Related Trade Barriers

We are not aware of any biotechnology-related trade barriers affecting U.S. exports to Nigeria.

I. Pending Legislation

The Ministry of Environment has prepared a draft biosafety bill. The draft bill advocates mandatory labeling of all products of agricultural biotechnology to protect "consumers right to know." If the bill were enforced once passed, it would likely affect exports of U.S. food products to Nigeria.

J. Technology Fees

Nigeria does not have any technology fees for bio-engineered crops; neither does it have legislation in place to collect such fees.

SECTION IV. MARKETING ISSUES

A. Market Acceptance

Generally, most Nigerians are not aware of products of modern agricultural biotechnology and the issues involved. Information and discussions on modern biotechnology have been undertaken largely among GON officials, scientists and researchers. Nigerian farmers and the general public will need to be educated about the technology.

Wheat importers in Nigeria favor the precautionary approach to biotechnology. They have learned about bio-engineered food products primarily from the U.S. - EU debate over biotechnology. Overall, Nigerian wheat importers have expressed the opinion that the U.S. should not introduce bio-engineered wheat into the market until all long-term health concerns are resolved. In MY2006, Nigeria was the second largest export market for U.S. wheat.

B. Focus Group Survey

The results of a focus group survey on the attitude of the public to biotechnology revealed that about 40 percent of respondents would not mind consuming bio-engineered food products. Many respondents especially among those with little education were ignorant of biotechnology and its potential usefulness. While respondents did express concern about the long-term health effects of consuming such products, these concerns seem to be overshadowed by their basic need for affordable food." The survey also revealed a marked preference for biotech products develop locally to those that are imported.

Following press statements by the AgAttache and a series of workshops conducted by USAID funded NAPB for civil servants, policy makers, legislators and for the members of the media, the level of awareness of issues relating to agricultural biotechnology has improved somewhat. Most newspaper articles are well balanced and are devoid of misconceptions about biotechnology.

SECTION V. CAPACITY BUILDING AND OUTREACH

A. U.S. Government or USDA Funded Outreach activities

Over the last five years, the USDA has helped to fund scientists to work on biotechnology at the IITA, under its technical assistance program. In addition, the AgAffairs Office in Lagos utilized the Cochran Fellowship Program to provide training in agricultural biotechnology in the U.S. for four Nigerian scientists during the same period. In 2005, AgAffairs Office in Lagos also nominated a journalist to participate in a biotechnology seminar sponsored by the US Grains Council.

In 2004, agricultural biotechnology in Nigeria received a boost with the launch of two linked initiatives funded by the USAID. These are the West African Biotechnology Network (WABNET) and the Nigeria Agricultural Biotechnology Project (NABP), implemented by CGIAR's International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), in close collaboration with Tuskegee University. Signed on August 21, 2003, NABP is a \$2.1 million project to assist Nigeria in building the framework for decision-making that will facilitate access to the opportunities biotechnology offers and will ensure the safe and effective application of this technology to improve agriculture. A key element of the project is to improve implementation of bio-safety regulations; and, enhance public knowledge and acceptance of biotechnology.

At present, the project has developed collaborative linkages and is providing facilities to some Nigerian universities/institutes to facilitate implementation; National Biotechnology Development Agency (NABDA) for biotech information dissemination; Sheda Science & Technology Complex (SHESTCO) for training of scientists; National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI) for plant transformation; Institute of Agricultural Research (IAR) for tissue culture and University of Agriculture, Abeokuta for advanced biotechnology training.

In 2004, the USAID sponsored four reporters on a biotech press mission to the United States.

B. Country Specific Needs

In order to assist the GON in its efforts to fast-track the creation of an enabling environment for biotechnology, Post would like to arrange an activity for high-ranking, policy level officers from the presidency, Ministry of environment, Agriculture, Science and Technology, Legislature, and academia. It is anticipated that the group will return to Nigeria with a

renewed determination to move forward the process of creating an enabling regulatory environment for biotechnology.

Capacity building training is required for the personnel of the Ministry of Environment to be able to develop a biosafety protocol. SHESTCO requires up-to-date laboratory facilities to act as a national center of excellence that will be able to conduct research and assessment tests.

Post recommends the posting of a U.S. Government Scientist to Nigeria under the Embassy Science Fellows Program. The Scientist will be saddled with the responsibility of strengthening institutional linkages for the development of biotechnology in Nigeria.

SECTION VI. REFERENCE MATERIAL

Nigeria Biosafety Guidelines 2001 Draft Nigeria Biosafety Bill 2006 Draft National Biosafety Framework National Biosafety Policy

Copies of these documents are available in the AgAffairs office.

POST CONTACT AND FURTHER INFORMATION

Agricultural Attaché
Foreign Agricultural Service
US Consulate
2, Walter Carrington Crescent
Victoria Island
Lagos, Nigeria

E-mail: <u>AgLagos@usda.gov</u>

Website: http://www.fas.usda.gov

Prof. B. O. Solomon Director General National Biotechnology Development Agency Auther Unegbe Street Former CAC Building Area 11 Garki Abuja

Tel: 234-9-67156910-2, 3145472, 08034049111

E-mail: bosconsult@yahoo.com

Mr. Matthew P.O. Dore Federal Ministry of environment Garki, Abuja

Tel: 234-802 3367704, 803 3147778

E-mail: Mpo_dore@yahoo.com

Mr. Christian Fatokun International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) PMB 5320 Ibadan Nigeria

Tel: 234-2-2412626

FAX: 234-2-241 2221

E-mail: c.fatokun@cgiar.org

Dr. Godwin H. Ogbadu Professor/Director Biotechnology Advanced Laboratory Sheda Science and Technology Complex Sheda,

Garki-Lokoja Highway Abuja, Federal Capital City

Tel: 234-9-523391, 8822151, 804480456

Fax: 234-9-5233919

E-mail: goddyharuna@yahoo.com